

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MIDLAND-ODESSA DIVISION

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) Case No. 7:19-CR-265
2		)
3	Plaintiff,	) COA No. 20-50480
4		)
5	vs.	) Midland, Texas
6		)
7	JESSE RAY HARDEE,	)
8	Defendant.	) June 10, 2020
9		) 10:34 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID COUNTS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**APPEARANCES:**

**FOR THE GOVERNMENT:**

MR. JAMES GLENN HARWOOD, AUSA  
Office of the United States Attorney  
Midland-Odessa Division  
400 W. Illinois, Suite 1200  
Midland, Texas 79701

**FOR THE DEFENDANT:**

MR. DAVID G. ROGERS  
Fivecoat & Rogers, PLLC  
214 W. Texas Avenue, Suite 811  
Midland, Texas 79701

**COURT REPORTER:**

MS. ANN M. RECORD, RMR, CRR, CMRS, CRI  
200 East Wall Street, Suite 222  
Midland, Texas 79701  
(432) 685-0361  
ann\_record@txwd.uscourts.gov

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand reporter.  
Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

**I N D E X**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**PAGE**

Proceedings	3
Ruling by The Court	19

**WITNESSES FOR THE DEFENDANT:****PAGE**

COSANDRA McDOUGALL	
Direct Examination By Mr. Rogers	5
Cross-Examination By Mr. Harwood	10

**DEFENSE EXHIBITS:****RCVD**

DX 1	Lab Report	11
DX 2	Earnings statement	9
DX 3	Copies of logs	9
DX 4	Judgment	5
DX 5	Judgment	5
DX 6	Judgment	5
DX 7	Judgment	5
DX 8	Judgment	5
DX 9	Judgment	5
DX 10	Judgment	5

**P R O C E E D I N G S**

(At 10:34 a.m., proceedings commenced)

(Defendant present)

THE COURT: The Court calls U.S. vs. Jesse Hardee in MO:19-CR-265 today for sentencing.

MR. HARWOOD: Good morning, Your Honor. Glenn Harwood for the Government.

MR. ROGERS: Judge, David Rogers. I'm here with Mr. Hardee. We're present and ready.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you.

And you're Jesse Hardee, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Hardee.

Mr. Rogers, do you believe Mr. Hardee is competent?

MR. ROGERS: I do.

THE COURT: And have you reviewed with him the Presentence Investigation Report?

MR. ROGERS: I have.

THE COURT: Mr. Hardee, you've reviewed this report, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Rogers, are there objections or corrections to the report?

MR. ROGERS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

1           Mr. Hardee, have a seat.

2           And, Mr. Rogers, you may proceed.

3           MR. ROGERS: Your Honor, we had made several  
4 objections. The Probation Department corrected two of them.  
5 We had objected to obstruction and acceptance of  
6 responsibility. The obstruction was taken out, and he was  
7 granted acceptance of responsibility points. So I withdraw  
8 those -- obviously, I withdraw those objections.

9           THE COURT: Yes, sir.

10          MR. ROGERS: Our two remaining objections -- Your  
11 Honor, three remaining objections are, one, the amount of  
12 narcotics is overstated; second, should he get a role  
13 reduction; and, third, and this -- I'm going to put the  
14 judgments in. I don't believe it to be a valid objection. But  
15 in case an appellate lawyer believes differently, I have the  
16 judgments. And our objection for the criminal history would be  
17 is overstated and the evidence does not support it. I'm going  
18 to put in the judgments. My calculations were 20. But if  
19 someone else thinks different, I want to preserve that  
20 objection, Judge, but in candor to the Court.

21          THE COURT: So as to that objection, I'll overrule  
22 that objection just for the record.

23          MR. ROGERS: And I'll go ahead and give you those.

24          THE COURT: In case that helps in any way.

25          MR. ROGERS: It's Exhibits 4 through 10 and those are

1 just the corresponding judgments.

2 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Harwood?

3 MR. HARWOOD: None, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Those are admitted without objection.

5 MR. ROGERS: And the first objection, Your Honor, is  
6 basically the amount of narcotics is overstated. I've got his  
7 mother here to testify. A majority of the narcotic weight is  
8 based on money. So I'm going to have her here to testify.

9 THE COURT: Ma'am, if you would come on up to the --  
10 come on up here. And right before you get to the witness  
11 stand, I'll have you stop and have you sworn in.

12 (Witness sworn by the clerk at 10:36 a.m.)

13 THE COURT: Mr. Rogers, go right ahead.

14 MR. ROGERS: Thank you. Also, in candor to the  
15 Court, Your Honor, I'm submitting *United States vs. Haines*,  
16 H-A-I-N-E-S, 803 F.3d 713. It's a 2015 Fifth Circuit case.  
17 May I approach?

18 THE COURT: Yes, sir, you may.

19 MR. ROGERS: But it was not clear error to consider  
20 money. We're going to make the objection regardless.

21 COSANDRA McDOUGALL,

22 DEFENDANT'S WITNESS SWORN AT 10:36 A.M.

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. ROGERS:

25 Q. Tell us your name, please.

- 1 A. Cosandra McDougall.
- 2 Q. And, Ms. McDougall, are you employed?
- 3 A. I'm retired.
- 4 Q. And how are you related to Mr. Hardee?
- 5 A. I'm his mother.
- 6 Q. Okay. Where did you retire from?
- 7 A. From M & P Construction in Crane.
- 8 Q. And when did you retire?
- 9 A. In February of 2014.
- 10 Q. And were you married?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. How long were you married for?
- 13 A. 33 years.
- 14 Q. What did your husband do?
- 15 A. He was a supervisor, and then he was a finish blade
- 16 mechanic.
- 17 Q. And how much did he make?
- 18 A. Probably made 60- to \$70,000 a year.
- 19 Q. And I think you told us already, but I'll ask you again.
- 20 Did he pass away? When did he pass away?
- 21 A. Yes. We lost him December 10, 2018.
- 22 Q. Was it sudden or expected?
- 23 A. Yes. No, we were not expecting it at all.
- 24 Q. What happened?
- 25 A. He had been sick over the weekend. I had been treating

1 him for what we thought was the flu. Found out he had  
2 pneumonia. I'm not for sure what all happened at the hospital  
3 because they took him to the back, and next thing I knew he was  
4 on life support.

5 Q. Did he use banks or how did he store his -- keep his  
6 money?

7 A. He did not like the banks, no.

8 Q. After he passed away, did you find cash around the house?

9 A. Not in the house but out in his shop.

10 Q. Okay.

11 MR. ROGERS: May I approach, Your Honor?

12 THE COURT: Of course. Yes, sir.

13 Q. (BY MR. ROGERS) Let me show you what I've marked  
14 Defendant's Exhibits 2 and 3. Is 2 a copy of Mr. Hardee's wage  
15 stubs?

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. What is the last date?

18 A. November 20th of '19.

19 Q. Did you pick these up at his place of employment?

20 A. Yes, uh-huh.

21 Q. Did you make any alterations to them?

22 A. No.

23 THE COURT: And by Mr. Hardee, we're talking about  
24 the defendant?

25 MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir.

1 THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure.

2 Q. (BY MR. ROGERS) Where was he employed?

3 A. He was working for Aarons Services. It's an oil field  
4 company.

5 Q. Okay. And tell us what Defendant's Exhibit No. 3 is.

6 A. This is a notebook that I've had after my husband passed.  
7 He had been collecting tools for longer than we had been  
8 married. And I started selling part of his things out of  
9 there. We had a shop out at the house that had three rooms of  
10 tools, and I'm still selling tools.

11 Q. When did you start selling the tools?

12 A. January of 2019.

13 Q. And you understand that in this case we're talking about  
14 two separate amounts of money. One in the amount of \$40,098.

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. You don't have any knowledge of where that money came  
17 from, do you?

18 A. No, sir.

19 Q. The other amount that was on -- seized with you was  
20 \$36,573; is that correct?

21 A. No, sir. It was \$36,672.

22 Q. Okay. And was that all your money?

23 A. Yes, it was.

24 Q. Was any of it provided to you from Mr. Hardee for drug  
25 moneys?



1 A. No, sir.

2 Q. So the money that's attributable to him that was seized  
3 with you, was that your money?

4 A. The money that they got from me?

5 Q. Yes.

6 A. Yes, it was all my money. Well, my husband's money and  
7 then my money.

8 Q. Was any of that from the sale of narcotics?

9 A. No, sir.

10 MR. ROGERS: Your Honor, we move for the introduction  
11 of Defendant's Exhibits 2 and 3.

12 THE COURT: Mr. Harwood.

13 MR. HARWOOD: No objection.

14 THE COURT: Those are admitted. 2 and 3 are admitted  
15 without objection.

16 Q. (BY MR. ROGERS) And you are here today testifying freely  
17 and voluntarily?

18 A. Yes, I am.

19 Q. And you have some pending charges in Crane County?

20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. And you have a lawyer representing you on that?

22 A. Yes, I do.

23 Q. And he understood you were going to testify today?

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 Q. And no one's coerced you into testifying.

1 A. Absolutely not, no.

2 Q. And no one's discussed that case with you or made any  
3 promises or had anything to do with that, have they?

4 A. No, sir.

5 Q. Other than you have these outstanding charges?

6 A. Yes.

7 MR. ROGERS: Nothing further, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Mr. Harwood, your witness.

9 **CROSS-EXAMINATION**

10 BY MR. HARWOOD:

11 Q. Ms. McDougall, do you have any felony convictions?

12 A. No, sir.

13 Q. When you were stopped on the 26th of November, how much  
14 methamphetamine was in your vehicle?

15 A. They said 73 grams, but I obtained a lawyer, and I am  
16 willing -- I'm going to fight these allegations.

17 Q. Who said 73 grams?

18 A. That's what was in my paperwork they sent me.

19 Q. Was there methamphetamine in your vehicle?

20 A. Very little.

21 Q. How much?

22 A. I have no idea.

23 Q. Whose was it?

24 A. I guess it was mine. It was in my purse.

25 Q. Well, okay. Did you purchase that methamphetamine?

1 A. No, I did not.

2 Q. It just magically ended up in your purse.

3 A. No. It was given to me.

4 Q. Who gave it to you?

5 A. A friend of mine.

6 Q. What's your friend's name?

7 A. I'm not at liberty to say.

8 Q. Well, you're certainly under oath and you're --

9 A. I certainly am, and I am going to plead the Fifth.

10 MR. HARWOOD: Nothing further, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Redirect?

12 MR. ROGERS: No, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: You may step down. Thank you.

14 MR. ROGERS: And finally, Judge, I would move for  
15 Defendant's Exhibit 1. It's a copy of the lab results.

16 THE COURT: Oh, okay.

17 Mr. Harwood?

18 MR. HARWOOD: No objection, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: The lab results for?

20 MR. ROGERS: That were referenced in the Presentence  
21 Report for the drug weights.

22 THE COURT: Oh, okay. That's admitted without  
23 objection. Thank you.

24 MR. ROGERS: And our argument would be, Judge, that  
25 the amounts seized are not -- don't correlate to the amounts of

1 money in Mr. Hardee's possession and, therefore, the cash  
2 amount is vastly overstated.

3           In regards to the statements contained, my problem  
4 with the statements, Judge, is Mr. Hardee gave a statement,  
5 number one, that's referenced in the PSR. He then gives a  
6 second statement and basically it is the officer saying: We  
7 have your mother. We found meth in her purse. Will you talk  
8 to us? Mr. Hardee agrees and says, Yes, and the tape ends.  
9 So -- and I always date myself when I say "tape." I know it is  
10 not a tape anymore. But there is nothing corresponding, you  
11 know, to what was actually said.

12           So our basic objection, Judge, is with the documents  
13 we provided for you, the methamphetamine and the narcotics  
14 amounts should be, you know, cut in half, if not more, because  
15 we've shown that this -- the money was his mother's.

16           In regards to the rule, Your Honor, I made that  
17 objection. It was basically a two-person conspiracy. There is  
18 no other evidence of him dealing with anybody else. There was  
19 some mention of a Mr. Flores, but he was not put in that  
20 conspiracy. It was a two-person conspiracy, like I said,  
21 between him and Heather Griffith, who you're about to sentence.  
22 There was no controlled buys, no evidence of buys. So I've  
23 asked for that role reduction, and I'll leave that up to the  
24 Court.

25           THE COURT: All right. Let me just say then as to

1 the second objection, the role reduction, the Court relies upon  
2 the probation officer's respond and the facts in the case. I  
3 don't see where 3B1.2 has been -- met that definition of him  
4 having a mitigating role. So I don't see that -- so I'll  
5 overrule that objection.

6 I want to talk about the relevant conduct objection,  
7 however.

8 Mr. Harwood, what would you respond?

9 MR. HARWOOD: Your Honor, as to the money, what the  
10 Court's been provided is some ledgers and some receipts, and so  
11 I would just like to comment on the evidence.

12 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

13 MR. HARWOOD: Additionally, you had some testimony  
14 from the defendant's mother. The defendant's mother was  
15 traveling with the defendant's -- codefendant/fiancée/  
16 girlfriend, whatever relationship they had -- in that vehicle  
17 together to the defendant's house with -- with them  
18 30-some-odd-thousand dollars in cash. At the defendant's home  
19 he had 30-some-odd-thousand or \$40,000 in cash.

20 So the disputes amount is this \$30,000 in cash. The  
21 testimony was that they said 73 grams of methamphetamine were  
22 found there. She admitted that it was in her purse. That it  
23 was some amount. She won't say where she got it. Her  
24 testimony about the drugs is not credible. Because her  
25 testimony about the drugs is not credible, you can find that

1 she's not credible. In fact, she probably wisely invoked her  
2 Fifth Amendment right to not answer any other questions.

3 But what the Court can consider is the fact that if  
4 we're talking about garage selling her deceased husband's  
5 tools, people don't write receipts for that kind of thing,  
6 generally. The notes and the receipts are all in the same  
7 handwriting all at the same time. It looks more like it was  
8 something that was prepared to make an argument in court.

9 Based on her activity, who she was with, where she  
10 was going, the attendant circumstances around the case, it's  
11 more likely than not that that 30-some-odd-thousand dollars is  
12 attributable to the defendant just like the  
13 40-some-odd-thousand dollars at the defendant's residence  
14 before his mother and girlfriend/codeendant get to the  
15 residence. The government believes that there is a  
16 preponderance of evidence where the Court can make a good faith  
17 calculation and attribute that money to drug activity and,  
18 therefore, convert it as the probation office has done.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Rogers, anything else?

20 MR. ROGERS: No, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: The Court overrules the objection in  
22 reliance on that argument as well as the response from the  
23 probation officer. The Court finds Ms. McDougall's testimony  
24 to be wholly uncredible and unbelievable. I do find as well  
25 the exhibits that show -- I guess the attempt is to show some

1 plausible explanation of where that money came from. Taken at  
2 face value, I believe, you could bend your mind to believe  
3 that. However, they do appear to me -- the same as Mr. Harwood  
4 mentioned, they appear to be almost carbon copies of each  
5 other, though the numbers and names have been change. I think  
6 that's clear from a viewing of the -- of some of those  
7 receipts, some of the hand notes or the -- I guess from the  
8 notebook look a little different than that.

9           But I will state that in looking at Paragraph 12, I  
10 believe, Mr. Hardee ordered 3 to 4 ounces of methamphetamine, 1  
11 to 2 ounces of heroin, and 1 ounce of marijuana. He reported  
12 that he, quote/unquote, re-upped once a week since  
13 January 2019. The total amount of moneys attributed to him is  
14 \$77,671.62. Given that purchasing history, if you divide those  
15 moneys up, it's really very plausible and believable that that  
16 money was all derived from those narcotics trafficking  
17 activities.

18           If you figure out -- and the probation officer went  
19 deeper than I did. She says 3/5 of the moneys were calculated  
20 for methamphetamine, 1/5 of the money is calculated for heroin,  
21 and 1/5 of the money is calculated for marijuana. And so we  
22 reach this total of 132,661.27 kilograms. I keep double  
23 checking that because that seems like a lot, but it would add  
24 up in doing that.

25           So the Court overrules the objection as I already

1 overruled the mitigating role or minor participant objection as  
2 well.

3           Mr. Hardee is certainly anything but a minor  
4 participant, and he certainly had anything but a mitigating  
5 role in this offense.

6           Are there other objections?

7           MR. ROGERS: We ask for a sentence reduction. I'll  
8 address that whenever you want me to.

9           THE COURT: Yes, sir. Okay. And we'll get to that.

10          Mr. Harwood, are there objections or corrections to  
11 the report from the government?

12          MR. HARWOOD: None, Your Honor.

13          THE COURT: The Court has reviewed the Presentence  
14 Investigation Report prepared by U.S. Probation Officer Angela  
15 Lopez.

16          I find the report to be accurate. I adopt it and the  
17 application of the United States Sentencing Guidelines  
18 contained in the report.

19          I find the total base offense level is 35.

20          Criminal history category is VI.

21          The guideline range is 292 to 365 months.

22          Five years' supervised release.

23          Mr. Hardee is ineligible under the guidelines for  
24 probation.

25          \$40,000 to \$10 million fine is available.



1           \$100 mandatory special assessment.

2           And there is, I do note, Mr. Rogers, that you have  
3 made a motion. Go right ahead.

4           MR. ROGERS: And I didn't object to the fine, Your  
5 Honor. I would just point out he is indigent and represented  
6 by court-appointed counsel in this case.

7           THE COURT: I agree with you.

8           Mr. Hardee, go ahead and stand.

9           Yes, sir.

10          MR. ROGERS: We have asked for a downward departure  
11 in this case. One, I don't think there is anything in the code  
12 that says the Court cannot consider this. It's his birthday.

13          Secondly, in reality, Your Honor, not to make light  
14 of the matter, this is -- we have to talk about what takes this  
15 case out of the heartland. The only thing that really might  
16 take this case out of the heartland that you can hang your hat  
17 on is the fact that there are no controlled buys, there are no  
18 confidential informants, there are no snitches. I think that's  
19 the same as confidential informants.

20          THE COURT: Yes, sir.

21          MR. ROGERS: But you don't have anything attributing  
22 Mr. Hardee to drug trafficking other than, you know, statements  
23 and money; but you don't have any, as they say, dope on the  
24 table.

25          So that's what would take this out of the heartland,

1 and we would ask for a sentencing reduction because he's facing  
2 an incredible amount of time. And if you look at his prior  
3 sentences, it looks like he got a bunch of those at the same  
4 time. They were three-point hits, and then he got some in  
5 Odessa. I think in 2017. And then he was on parole out of  
6 Judge Whalen's court, and that got him an extra two points.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 So, Mr. Hardee, what would you like to say? Go right  
9 ahead.

10 THE DEFENDANT: I would like to start off by saying I  
11 take full responsibility for my actions. I want to apologize  
12 to whoever I may have affected with my negative manner dealing  
13 with drugs, but -- I made multiple mistakes throughout my life  
14 linked back to my addiction. But if I [sic] can find in your  
15 heart to see past my drug use and give me an opportunity to  
16 work during my time of incarceration so I can better myself  
17 and -- for myself -- for me and for my loved ones. And in  
18 close, I would like to thank you for listening to what I have  
19 to say, Your Honor. And if you could please take everything  
20 into consideration, I'd greatly appreciate it.

21 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hardee. I was looking at  
22 your picture in the report. You look way better. You look way  
23 better now. I think you look healthy now.

24 THE DEFENDANT: Appreciate it.

25 THE COURT: I mean, you didn't look real healthy back

1 then, but you look healthy now. So I'm glad -- hopefully this  
2 is going to help. I pray it does. But you had been unemployed  
3 for a couple of months prior to that arrest anyway. And so, I  
4 mean, obviously you were selling drugs, but I know you were  
5 using as well. Correct?

6 THE DEFENDANT: Mostly using.

7 THE COURT: Mostly using. Okay.

8 Mr. Harwood.

9 MR. HARWOOD: Your Honor, the government believes the  
10 guidelines are appropriate. \$70,000 means different things to  
11 different people. To some people, it means a nice new truck;  
12 and we see that a lot around Midland. But in this case, the  
13 government would urge the Court to consider that \$70,000  
14 represents \$20 at a time, \$100 at a time to people hooked on  
15 the poison that the defendant was feeding them a paper at a  
16 time or a gram at a time or an eight ball at a time. That's a  
17 lot of money. That's a lot of poison that the defendant was  
18 dealing, including to people that he claimed to love, probably  
19 his mother and at least his codefendant girlfriend. That's a  
20 lot of money. It's a lot of drugs. And the government  
21 believes that the guidelines accurately reflect his conduct.

22 THE COURT: Thank you.

23 I also received a letter from your mom too. I wanted  
24 you to know that. And I'm sorry about your dad dying. She  
25 mentioned that as well.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

2 THE COURT: The Court does not depart and will deny  
3 the defense motion for downward departure, finding that the  
4 case does not lie outside the heartland of cases of this  
5 nature.

6 The Court does not depart from the recommended  
7 sentence.

8 Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, which  
9 I have considered in an advisory capacity, and the sentencing  
10 factors set forth in 18 U.S.C., Section 3553(a), which I have  
11 considered in arriving at a reasonable sentence, I find the  
12 guideline range in this case to be fair and reasonable.

13 The defendant is placed in the custody of the United  
14 States Bureau of Prisons to serve a term of imprisonment of  
15 365 months.

16 Upon release from the United States Bureau of  
17 Prisons, you are placed on supervised release to serve a term  
18 of five years.

19 The standard and mandatory conditions of supervision  
20 are imposed.

21 And I'll include also the search condition of  
22 supervision within the Western District of Texas.

23 There is no fine imposed. I do agree with Mr. Rogers  
24 that you're unable to pay a fine because you're unable to work.  
25 You're unable to sell drugs like you've been doing for some

1 time.

2 And there is a \$100 mandatory special assessment you  
3 are required to pay to the Crime Victims Fund.

4 Your Presentence Report will be sealed.

5 You have the right to appeal your conviction and your  
6 sentence, assuming you have not given up that right. You must  
7 file Notice of Appeal in writing within 14 days of the entry of  
8 this judgment. If you are unable to afford an attorney or the  
9 transcript of the record of the case on appeal, those will be  
10 provided at no expense to you, Mr. Hardee.

11 Mr. Rogers.

12 MR. ROGERS: Judge, we would further object to the  
13 sentence in this case is procedurally and substantially  
14 unreasonable for the reasons I've set forth.

15 THE COURT: Yes, sir. Thank you.

16 Mr. Harwood.

17 MR. HARWOOD: Nothing further, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Is there any request for a placement, do  
19 you know?

20 MR. ROGERS: Where do you want to go?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Seagoville.

22 THE COURT: Seagoville. I'll make that  
23 recommendation. That's all it is, but hopefully they will try  
24 to get you there.

25 I remand you to the custody of the United States

1 Marshals to serve your sentence. I wish you the best. I wish  
2 the best to your mom and family as well. Thank you.

3 MR. ROGERS: And, Judge, I'll have the Motion to  
4 Withdraw filed and the Notice to Appeal.

5 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Rogers.

6 (Proceedings concluded at 10:57 a.m.)

7 \* \* \* \* \*

8 C E R T I F I C A T E

9  
10 I, ANN M. RECORD, United States Court Reporter for  
11 the United States District Court in and for the Western  
12 District of Texas, hereby certify that the above and  
13 foregoing contains a true and correct transcript of the  
14 proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered cause.

15 WITNESS MY HAND on this 7th day of August, 2020.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

/s/Ann M. Record  
Ann M. Record, RMR, CRR, CMRS, CRI  
United States Court Reporter  
200 East Wall Street, Suite 222  
Midland, Texas 79701  
Telephone: (432) 685-0361  
e-mail: ann\_record@txwd.uscourts.gov